



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,958	08/27/2001	Beth M.P. Delaney	10011325-1	5435
75	590 02/28/2005		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY		. ZHONG, CHAD		
Intellectual Pro	perty Administration	•		
P.O. Box 272400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, C	Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400		2152	-
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/939,958	DELANEY ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Chad Zhong	2154	
The MAILING DA1 Period for Reply	E of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be availated after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If the period for reply specified a - If NO period for reply is specified. - Failure to reply within the set or	THIS COMMUNICAT the under the provisions of 37 mailing date of this communication is less than thirty (30) day above, the maximum statutory extended period for reply will, but later than three months after the	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. rs, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to con	nmunication(s) filed or	n <u>27 August 2001</u> .	•	
2a) ☐ This action is FINA	.L. 2b)∑	This action is non-final.		
•		allowance except for formal mat nder <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.I	tters, prosecution as to the ments is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/ar	e pending in the appli	cation.		
4a) Of the above cl	aim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/	are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/ar	e rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/	<u>-</u>			
8) Claim(s) are	subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)⊠ The specification is	objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed	d on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not re	quest that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawin	g sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(
11) The oath or declara	tion is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19			
12) Acknowledgment is	made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some	* c)□ None of:			
 Certified cop 	ies of the priority doc	uments have been received.		
2. Certified cor	ies of the priority doc	uments have been received in a	Application No	

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89	ıΜ	1)	Notice	of Re	eferences	Cited	(PTO-892
---------------------------------------	----	----	--------	-------	-----------	-------	----------

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

4)	Ш	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
		Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Application/Control Number: 09/939,958 Page 2

Art Unit: 2152

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-18 are presented for examination.

2. It is noted that although the present application does contain line numbers in specification and

claims, the line numbers in the claims do not correspond to the preferred format. The preferred format is

to number each line of every claim, with each claim beginning with line 1. For ease of reference by both

the Examiner and Applicant all future correspondence should include the recommended line numbering.

3. Applicant is required to update the status (pending, allowed, etc.) of all parent priority

applications in the first line of the specification. The status of all citations of US filed

applications in the specification should also be updated where appropriate.

4. The use of the trademark IBM, Apple among others have been noted in this application (pg 3). It

should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology. Appropriate

correction is required throughout the entire application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4-6, 7-8, 10-12, 13-14, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Hashimoto et al. (hereinafter Hashimoto), US 5,912,697.

7. As per claim 1, Hashimoto teaches a system for extracting an embedded audio file from an image

file, comprising:

6.

- a software code segment for extracting an audio file embedded in an image file (Col. 15, lines 19-20); a memory for storing the extracted audio file (Col. 11, lines 30-45); and
- a software code segment for associating the extracted audio file with the image file (Col. 12, lines 9-11, lines 30-51, wherein the audio and video files are re-combinable for future viewing, the association exist for this reason.).
- 8. As per claim 2, Hashimoto teaches the system of claim 1, wherein the extracted audio file and the image file are attached to an email message (Col. 10, lines 64-67, Col. 11, lines 1-5, lines 42-44, wherein the extracted files are sent via email to the recipient).
- 9. As per claim 4, Hashimoto teaches the system of claim 1, wherein the image file is compressed (Col. 15, lines 40-50).
- 10. As per claim 5, Hashimoto teaches the system of claim 1, wherein the software code segment for extracting the embedded audio file analyzes the image file for a marker representing the embedded audio file (Col. 16, lines 61-67, wherein the header information distinguishes the audio data from the video data).
- 11. As per claim 6, Hashimoto teaches the system of claim 1, wherein the extracted audio file and the image file are separately executable (Col. 27, lines 17-37, wherein video and text data can be executed separately as desired as illustrated in the example, the separate execution of audio and video data would be inherent).
- 12. As per claims 7-8, 10-12, claims 7-8, 10-12 are rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 1-2, 4-6 above respectively.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,958 Page 4

Art Unit: 2152

14. As per claims 13-14, 16-18, claims 13-14, 16-18 are rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claims 1-2, 4-6 above respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. Claim 3, 9, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto et al. (hereinafter Hashimoto), US 5,912,697, in view of Shinohara et al. (hereinafter Shinohara), US 2002/0046222.
- 17. As per claim 3, Hashimoto teaches the system of claim 2, wherein the extracted audio file and the image file are represented in the email message by separate items (see for example, Col. 11, lines 30-65, wherein the extracted audio and video files are readily available upon demand from the user's mail box 50).
- 18. Hashimoto does not explicitly discloses using icons to represent attachments in email messages.
- 19. Shinohara teaches:
 using icons to represent attachments in email message (see for example, Fig 4, item 33), for the advantages of viewing various attachments of the email.
- 20. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention was made to combine the teaching of Hashimoto and Shinohara because they both dealing with updating data extraction techniques. Furthermore, the teaching of Shinohara to allow

Application/Control Number: 09/939,958

Page 5

Art Unit: 2152

using icons to represent attachments in email messages

would improve the visual capabilities for Hashimoto's system by allowing viewers to have a visual display of the attachments. Furthermore, it would have been obvious Hashimoto's invention would include this aspect, referring to Col. 27, lines 17-36, wherein receiver has the option of choosing the desired data to view, this suggest Hashimoto has the visual means to accomplish this in his invention.

Conclusion

20. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents and publications are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to

"SYSTEM FOR EMAILING IMAGES WITH AUDIO AS A SEPARATE FILE".

i. US 2002/0061136 Shibata et al.

ii. US 2002/0094193 Tao et al.

iii. US 2002/0006222 Inagaki et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chad Zhong whose telephone number is (571)272-3946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:15 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BURGESS, GLENTON B can be reached on (571)272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

SUPERVISOR PATERT OF ANNER

Art Unit: 2152

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CZ February 23, 2005

.... 2130